

REMARKS

Claims 49-87 have been newly added. Claims 1-48 have been canceled without prejudice. Therefore, claims 49-87 are now presented for examination.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

In a Final Office Action mailed November 28, 2005, the now-cancelled claims 1, 2, 4, 8-11, 14-16, 18, 22-25, 28-30, 32, 36-39, and 42-48 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adler et al. (hereinafter Adler, Extensible Stylesheet Language (XSL) Version 1.0, published on October 18, 2000) in view of Saito et al. (hereinafter Saito, US Patent Number 5,323,312, issued on June 21, 1994). Applicant submits that the new claims overcome this rejection and are in condition for allowance.

Particularly, independent claims 49, 62, and 75 each recite generating a first page object including the source content in the presentation language used in the source document and formatting properties for a first page, and generating a second page object including the source content in the presentation language used in the source document and formatting properties for a second page, wherein the first page object and the second page object each include multiple content elements having content to place on the first page and second page. Applicant submits that the combination of Adler and Saito fails to disclose or suggest such a feature. Thus the present claims are patentable over Adler in view of Saito.

In the Final Office Action, claims 5, 7, 19, 21, 33, and 35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adler in view of Saito as applied to now-cancelled claims 2, 16, and 30, and further in view of Barry et al. (hereinafter Barry, US Patent Number 6,606,165,

Docket No.: 8185.P010
Application No.: 09/782,850


filed on January 8, 1999). Further, claims 6, 20, and 34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adler in view of Saito in further view of Barry as applied to now-cancelled claims 5, 19, and 33, and in further view of Sall (as found in the IDS - FOP: Formatting Object to PDF Translator (James Tauber, published in 1999). Applicant submits that the new claims are patentable over any combination of Adler, Saito, Barry, and Sall, since none of the references disclose or suggest generating a first page object including the source content in the presentation language used in the source document and formatting properties for a first page, and generating a second page object including the source content in the presentation language used in the source document and formatting properties for a second page, wherein the first page object and the second page object each include multiple content elements having content to place on the first page and second page.

Applicant respectfully submits that the rejections have been overcome, and that the claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests the rejections from the Office Action be withdrawn and the claims be allowed.

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case. Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,
BLAKELY, SOLOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: May 20, 2008



Mark L. Watson
Reg. No. 46,322

1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, California 94085-4040
(303) 740-1980

Docket No.: 8185.P010
Application No.: 09/782,850